

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/699,556	Applicant(s) SELIG ET AL.	
	Examiner Julie Lieu	Art Unit 2636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Julie Lieu.

(3) ____

(2) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 13 October 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

White and Chitalia.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The rejection was based on Whittle but Chitalia's patent number and reference was provided instead of Whille. The examiner agrees to remail the office action and restart time response period.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)